## **Exhibit C**

Page 266 1 FERNANDO BATLLE 2 oversight board. Now, you've been -- well, 3 Q going back briefly, do you recall that 4 5 under the 2019 RSA, which we discussed 6 earlier today, to which the board was a 7 party, all monoline insurers, including 8 National, received the same economic 9 treatment under that RSA or would have 10 received the same economic treatment? 11 I want to say generally, 12 yes. But I do not remember specifically 13 if there was a difference in fees between the monolines. I just don't remember 14 15 that detail. 16 But in terms of percentage 17 recovery, it was the same across the 18 board for monolines under the 2019 RSA; 19 right? 20 Α That is my recollection, 21 counsel. 22 Q And I know you were 23 involved. I was involved. 24 COFINA and Commonwealth plans of adjustment, your recollection --25

Page 267 1 FERNANDO BATLLE 2 and I know I'm testing it -- was that 3 monoline insurers received the same percentage recovery under both of those 4 5 plans than all other monoline insurers; 6 is that right? 7 That's my recollection, to Α 8 the extent that they own the same class 9 of securities because they were, as you 10 recall, senior and sub. That's my 11 recollection. 12 Do you know why monoline 13 insurers or National is being treated 14 better than other monoline insurers under 15 the plan of adjustment? 16 MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm going to 17 instruct you as always not to reveal 18 any discussions with counsel. 19 Q Let me just repeat it. 20 Do you know why National is 21 receiving better, higher percentage 22 recovery than other monoline insurers 23 under the amended PREPA plan of 24 adjustment? 25 Α It's driven likely by the

Page 268 1 FERNANDO BATLLE 2 board's desire to -- within the same 3 resource envelope as a term, the amount of dollars available for settling the 4 claims, having more people be part of the 5 6 process is better. And maybe that's the 7 reason why they did it. 8 Let me make sure I Q 9 understand. 10 Giving one monoline insurer 11 a higher percentage recovery than all 12 other monoline insurers, is it AAFAF's 13 understanding that that increases the 14 amount of people who will support the 15 plan? I just want to make sure I 16 understand your question. 17 Α Well, it's another party 18 that supports the plan; right? 19 You mean National? Q 20 Α Yes. 21 So if I understand you 22 correctly, the decision to give National 23 a higher percentage recovery under the 24 amended plan of adjustment was based on 25 bringing National onboard to the plan?

Page 269 1 FERNANDO BATLLE 2 MR. FRIEDMAN: Object to 3 form. I mean I wasn't part of Α 4 5 those deliberations. But that is my 6 personal view of why it was done. 7 Do you know, does AAFAF know Q 8 if Syncora Guarantee was offered a deal 9 with the same economic terms as National at any point? 10 11 Α I don't know. 12 Does AAFAF know whether 13 other bondholders were offered a similar 14 or the same deal as National was offered? 15 MR. FRIEDMAN: I'm just 16 going to instruct you not to answer 17 anything that you learned in the 18 context of mediation, not to testify 19 about anything -- if you know 20 anything from mediation, don't reveal 21 it or through our discussions about 22 mediation. 23 No. I don't recall if they Α 24 were -- you asked if they were offered 25 the same terms as National.

	Page 270
1	FERNANDO BATLLE
2	Q Yes.
3	A I don't know.
4	Q Do you know or was AAFAF
5	aware that Syncora Guarantee, in fact,
6	was offered a much less favorable deal
7	from the oversight board than the one
8	reached with National?
9	MR. FRIEDMAN: Object to
10	form.
11	A I don't recall today whether
12	we were notified of that. We might have.
13	But I don't recall.
14	Q Who would have been notified
15	within AAFAF?
16	A It could have been many
17	times, likely me as a representative of
18	AAFAF.
19	Q Anyone from Ankura, other
20	than you?
21	A No. Me.
22	MR. SALINAS: Mr. Batlle,
23	thank you so much for your time. I
24	appreciate your time and your
25	patience. I have no further